

**RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank  
South (West) Limited**

**RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank  
South (East) Limited**

**Dogger Bank South Offshore  
Wind Farms**

**Ørsted Interested Parties Statement of Common  
Ground**

**Document Date: 14<sup>th</sup> February 2025**

**Application Reference: 9.21**

**Revision Number: 01**

**Classification: Unclassified**

Company:	RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited	Asset:	Development		
Project:	Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms	Sub Project/Package	Consents		
Document Title or Description:	Ørsted Interested Parties Statement of Common Ground				
Document Number:	005630525-01	Contractor Reference Number:	N/A		
<p><i>COPYRIGHT © RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited, 2024.</i></p> <p><i>All rights reserved.</i></p> <p><i>In preparation of this document RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited has made reasonable efforts to ensure that the content is accurate, up to date and complete for the purpose for which it was prepared.</i></p>					
Rev No.	Date	Status/Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Approved by
01	14/02/2025	Submission for Deadline	RWE	RWE	RWE

Signatories	
Signed	
Name	
Position	
On behalf of	

Signatories	
Signed	
Name	
Position	
On behalf of	

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	7
1.1	Background .....	7
1.2	Approach to SoCG .....	8
2	Consultation and Engagement .....	9
2.1	Introduction .....	9
2.2	Consultation and Engagement Summary .....	9
3	Agreement Log .....	12
3.1	Overview .....	12
3.2	General .....	13
4	Summary .....	15
5	References .....	16

## Tables

Table 2-1 - Summary of pre-application and post-application consultation with the Ørsted IPs.....	9
Table 3-1 - Agreement logs position status key.....	12
Table 3-2 - General Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed with the Ørsted IPs .....	13

## Glossary

Term	Definition
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Environmental Statement (ES)	A document reporting the findings of the EIA and produced in accordance with the EIA Directive as transposed into UK law by the EIA Regulations.
National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP)	Large scale development including power generating stations which requires development consent under the Planning Act 2008. An offshore wind farm project with a capacity of more than 100 MW constitutes an NSIP.
Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	Defined in the EIA Regulations as information referred to in part 1, Schedule 4 (information for inclusion in Environmental Statements) which has been compiled by the Applicants and is reasonably required to assess the environmental effects of the development.
Section 42 Consultee	Organisations and individuals that are required to be consulted by the Applicants under section 42 of the Planning Act 2008. Non-prescribed section 42 consultees may be included by Applicants if identified as being of significance.
Statutory consultation	The statutory consultation ran in two periods. The first period ran between 6th June and 17th July 2023, with a second period running between 4th August and 15th September 2023 to gather responses from third-parties missed during the initial consultation period. The PEIR was presented as part of this consultation.
The Applicants	The Applicants for the Projects are RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited. The Applicants are themselves jointly owned by the RWE Group of companies (51% stake) and Masdar (49% stake).
The Projects	DBS East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms).

## Acronyms

Term	Definition
DBS	Dogger Bank South
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
ExA	Examining Authority
IPs	Interested Parties
NPS	National Policy Statement
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

1. The Application is for development consent for the Applicants to construct and operate the proposed Projects under the Planning Act 2008. Further description of the Projects is available in **Chapter 5 Project Description, Figure 5-1** [APP-072].
2. This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Ltd and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Ltd ('the Applicants'), and the Ørsted Interested Parties (IPs) which is defined as a combination of Hornsea 1 Limited, the collective of Breesea Limited, Soundmark Wind Limited, Sonningmay Limited and Optimus Wind Limited (together, the "Hornsea 2 Companies"), Orsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Limited, Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited, Lincs Wind Farm Limited, Westernmost Rough Limited and Race Bank Wind Farm Limited. The purpose of this SoCG to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Dogger Bank South ('DBS') West Offshore Wind Farm and DBS East Offshore Wind Farm, collectively known as DBS Offshore Wind Farms (herein 'the Projects').
3. In drafting this SoCG, the Applicants have had regard to the Planning Act 2008: Examination stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government and Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2024).
4. The need for a SoCG between the Applicants and Orsted H3 and Orsted H4 is set out within the Rule 4, 6, 9, 13, and 17 letter [PD-010] dated 17<sup>th</sup> December 2024, issued by the Planning Inspectorate post-application of the Projects' DCO. Within the letter, the Planning Inspectorate requested the SOCG to include but not be limited to:
  - Whether potential wake loss and effects on annual energy production form a material consideration to the Examination of the Proposed Development, with reference to paragraphs 2.8.197 and 2.8.347 of National Policy Statement (NPS) EN-3 (or any others deemed relevant);
  - What effects the Proposed Development would have on annual energy production of offshore wind farms owned by the Interested Parties from wake loss caused by the Proposed Development;
  - Whether any effects on annual energy production would affect the viability of operation of those wind farms;
  - Potential cumulative wake loss effects on annual energy production;
  - What types of mitigation could reduce any potential wake loss effects, or what other measures could be utilised to mitigate effects (such as Protective Provisions, Proximity Agreements or a requirement in the draft DCO).

5. In the Ørsted IPs' Responses to the Applicants' Response to RRs [PDA-013] and [AS-048] [REP1-086], the Ørsted IPs wished to note that whilst wake loss was not directly referenced in the Relevant Representations of all of the Ørsted IPs, it is indeed a relevant consideration for all of the Ørsted IPs' projects. Following discussion with Ørsted regarding the SoCG for Hornsea 3 and Hornsea 4 related to wake effects requested by the ExA and being submitted at Deadline 2, the Ørsted IPs requested that the wider list of projects (Hornsea 1 limited, Hornsea 2 Companies, Lincs Wind Farm Limited, Westernmost Rough Limited and Race Bank Wind Farm Limited) are included within the SoCG on the 13<sup>th</sup> February 2024 (see Table 2-1 for a summary of consultation with the Ørsted IPs). Whilst the Applicants have not received any direct representations from Hornsea 1 Limited, Hornsea 2 Companies, Lincs Wind Farm Limited, Westernmost Rough Limited and Race Bank Wind Farm Limited regarding the potential for wake interactions between the Applicants' Projects and these schemes, the Applicants have updated this SoCG to include all Ørsted IPs, as requested.
6. This SoCG is intended to provide the Examining Authority (ExA) with a clear summary of discussions between the parties and has been structured to reflect topics which are of interest to the Ørsted IPs, specifically wake loss, and which have been raised within Relevant Representations [RR-045; RR-044] and Responses to the Applicants' Response to RRs [PDA-013] and [AS-048] [REP1-086] to the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farm DCO that has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate pursuant to the Planning Act 2008.
7. It is the intention that this document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicants and the Ørsted IPs and will provide the ExA with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will be updated throughout the Examination process.

## 1.2 Approach to SoCG

8. This SoCG has been developed during the examination phases of the Projects in accordance with discussions between the Applicants and the Ørsted IPs.
9. The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
  - **Introduction:** background to the development of the SoCG.
  - **Consultation:** a summary of consultation to date.
  - **Agreement Log:** a record of the Applicants' position alongside those of the Ørsted IPs relating to topics discussed between parties and the status of agreement on those topics.



## 2 Consultation and Engagement

### 2.1 Introduction

10. The Ørsted IPs note within their Relevant Representations [RR-045; RR-044] that given the proximity of the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms array to the Hornsea Three array (~45km) and Hornsea Four array (~41km), there is significant potential for the Projects' turbines to interfere with wind speed or wind direction and cause a reduction in the energy output from the Hornsea Three and Hornsea Four turbines. They advise that the potential for impact and any necessary mitigations should be discussed further with the Applicants.
11. The Ørsted IPs have been consulted on the proposed development throughout the pre-application stage, as well as via non-statutory and statutory consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008.

### 2.2 Consultation and Engagement Summary

12. **Table 2-1** summarises the consultation regarding wake loss that the Applicants have undertaken with the Ørsted IPs as statutory or non-statutory consultation during the pre-application and post-application phases.

**Table 2-1 - Summary of pre-application and post-application consultation with the Ørsted IPs**

Date	Form of consultation	Meeting Title/Topic	Summary of Consultation
<b>Pre – Application</b>			
03/05/2023	Emails with Ørsted	Project Intro	Request contact to progress discussions on interactions
04/05/2023	Meeting	Project Intro	Project introduction and discussion on interactions
17/07/2023	Section 42 Consultation	Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives Other Marine Users Marine Mammals Cumulative Effects Shipping and Navigation	Ørsted's response to section 42 consultation on PEIR. See <b>Appendix G Section 42 and 47 Responses and Applicants regard</b> [APP-044] and <b>Appendix 16-1 - Infrastructure and Other Users Consultation Responses</b> [APP-132]. Wake loss was not specifically raised by Ørsted in their comments.

Date	Form of consultation	Meeting Title/Topic	Summary of Consultation
10/11/2023	Letter	Section 42 Statutory Consultation	Notice of statutory consultation issued to Orsted
24/01/2024	Meeting	Project Updates	Meeting to discuss project updates and interactions including access off A1079
20/03/2024	Email to Orsted	Offshore Interactions	Request for contact to discuss offshore interactions and requirements in relation to the DCO
26/03/2024	Email from Orsted	Offshore Interactions	Confirmation that Protective Provisions/Cooperation Agreement may be required. Call proposed to discuss.
11/04/2024	Meeting	Project Interactions	Call to discuss onshore and offshore interactions and agreements required between parties. Orsted confirmed Protective Provisions required.
09/05/2024	Email to Orsted	Draft ES Chapter 16 Infrastructure and Other Users	Draft ES Chapter 16 provided to Orsted for comment ahead of submission
07/06/2024	Email from Orsted	Draft ES Chapter 16 Infrastructure and Other Users	Confirmation from Orsted that there are no comments on draft Chapter 16
<b>Post – Application</b>			
31/07/2024	Emails with Orsted	DCO Acceptance	Update to Orsted on DCO acceptance and relevant representation period open. Request for update on HOW4 programme. Update on Protective Provisions review and agreement to Heads of Terms for a Cooperation/Interface Agreement
09/09/2024	Email	Relevant Representation	Received the Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Limited and Ørsted Hornsea Project Four Limited relevant representations to The Planning Inspectorate regarding wake loss.

Date	Form of consultation	Meeting Title/Topic	Summary of Consultation
08/10/2024	Email	Relevant Representation	The Applicants responded to the Relevant Representations from Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Limited and Ørsted Hornsea Project Four Limited within <b>The Applicants' Responses to Relevant Representations</b> [PDA-013] regarding wake loss.
15/11/2024	Email	Project Change Request 1	<b>Project Change Request 1 - Offshore and Intertidal Works (Revision 1)</b> [AS-141] issued to the Ørsted IPs for comment.
24/01/2024	Email	Draft SoCG	Issued draft SoCG for the Ørsted IPs to review.
31/01/2024	Email	Responses to the Applicants' Response to Relevant Representations	Received the Ørsted IPs' responses to the Applicants' response to Relevant Representation at Deadline 1.
12/02/2024	Phone call	Draft SoCG	Comments on the draft SoCG were provided by the Ørsted IPs via phone call, requesting that the SoCG includes the wider list of projects.
13/02/2024	Email	Draft SoCG	The Applicants issued a revised draft of the SoCG to the Ørsted IPs.
14/02/2024	Email	Draft SoCG	The Ørsted IPs confirmed agreement of the Draft SoCG for submission into Examination at Deadline 2.

## 3 Agreement Log

### 3.1 Overview

13. The following sections of this SoCG summarise the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant topic.
14. In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or 'under discussion', a colour coding system red, amber, green (RAG status) is used respectively within the 'status' column as set out in **Table 3-1**.

**Table 3-1 - Agreement logs position status key**

Position Status	Colour Code
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.	Agreed
The matter is neither 'agreed' or 'not agreed' and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties, for example where relevant documents are being prepared or reviewed.	Under discussion
The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or the Ørsted IPs is not considered to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions. Discussions have concluded.	Not agreed – No material impact
The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by either the Applicant or Ørsted IPs is considered to result in a materially different outcome on the assessment conclusions.	Not agreed – material impact

## 3.2 General

Table 3-2 - General Topics agreed, in discussion or not agreed with the Ørsted IPs

SoCG ID	The Applicants' Position	Ørsted IPs' Position	Position Status
Engagement			
1.	The Applicants have adequately consulted with the Ørsted IPs throughout all stages of the Projects to date and the Summary of Consultation (section 2.2 of this SoCG) is a fair and accurate record of pre-application consultation.		
Wake Effects			
2.	<p>The Applicants consider that neither NPS nor the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 require an assessment of wake effects.</p> <p>The Applicants do not consider that the “Other offshore infrastructure and activities” policies in EN-3 apply to existing offshore wind farms and believe the new Secretary of State needs to reconsider the NPS interpretation in the Awel y Mor decision. Even if the Awel y Mor interpretation is followed, the Applicants</p>	<p>The Ørsted IPs consider that given the proximity of the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms array to the Ørsted IP projects there is significant potential for the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms turbines to interfere with wind speed or wind direction and thus cause a reduction in energy output from the Ørsted IP portfolio.</p> <p>Further discussion and assessment on the potential for impact, including any necessary mitigations, is</p>	

SoCG ID	The Applicants' Position	Ørsted IPs' Position	Position Status
	consider that the application contains sufficient information to allow the Examining Authority and the Secretary of State to reach a reasoned conclusion on the matters set out in paragraphs 2.8.342 – 2.8.348 to ensure that the relevant NPS policy tests have been met.	required between the Ørsted IPs and the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms.	

## 4 Summary

15. This SoCG has outlined the consultation and engagement that has taken place between the Applicants and the Ørsted IPs during the pre-application [and Examination] phases. The agreement logs present the position reached at the point of submission of this SoCG between the Projects and the Ørsted IPs in relation to relevant matters.
16. This SoCG will be updated as discussions progress and made available to PINS as requested through the DCO examination phase.

## 5 References

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government and Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (2024). Planning Act 2008: Examination stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/planning-act-2008-examination-stage-for-nationally-significant-infrastructure-projects>. [Accessed January 2025].



RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank  
South (West) Limited

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank  
South (East) Limited

Windmill Business Park  
Whitehill Way  
Swindon  
Wiltshire, SN5 6PB

**RWE**

MASDAR 